JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Mary Plank (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chester (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS The Devereux Foundation, The Devereux Foundation Long Term Disability Plan and Aetna Life Insurance Company County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State □		Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		r Defenda PTF 4	ant) DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	Citizen of Another State				□ 5	
				en or Subject of a 💢 reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	1. F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 363 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Y	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 09 Other LABOR	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U PROPE □ 820 Copp □ 830 Pater □ 840 Trad SOCIAL □ 861 HIA □ 862 Blact □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSII □ 865 RSI □ 870 Taxe or D □ 871 IRS— 26 U	al 28 USC 158 drawal ISC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	375 False Cl 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrat 899 Admini Act/Rev	aims Act apportion t nd Bankin ree tion er Influer Organiza er Credit at TV es/Comm ge tatutory A tatutory A n of Infor ion estrative P iew or A Decision utionality	nment ng need and tions nodities/ Actions statters mation rocedure ppeal of
	DN Cite the U.S. Civil Star 29 U.S.C. Section Brief description of the E CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court atute under which you a n 1132(a)(1)(b) ause: mployee Retiremer IS A CLASS ACTION	re filing (r District uies unless du 74	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation (versity): CHECK YES only (URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	compla: ☐ No	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AL	TORNEY	OF RECORD		*=====			
RECEIPT# Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG, JU	DGE		

Case 2:13-cv-07337-RB Document 1 Filed 12/16/13 Page 2 of 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

<u> Fel</u> ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Addre	ess					
610-430-8000	610-692-0877	jstanzione@lambmcer	lane.com					
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for						
12/12/13	John J. Stanzione	Mary Plank						
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall in	nto any one of the other tracks.	(x)					
commonly referred to as	complex and that need	o tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management letailed explanation of special	by ()					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal	injury or property damage from	()					
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	aired to be designated f	or arbitration under Local Civil	Rule 53.2. ()					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.								
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.								
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:						
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Track less a copy on all defendant event that a defendant shall, with its first appearties, a Case Manageme	Delay Reduction Plan of this concessionation Form in all civil cases ts. (See § 1:03 of the plan set for does not agree with the plaintifferance, submit to the clerk of cont Track Designation Form speciassigned.	the set at the time of the on the reverse fregarding said urt and serve on					
v. THE DEVEREUX FO THE DEVEREUX FO LONG TERM DISAB PLAN, and AETNA II	UNDATION :	NO.						

(Civ. 660) 10/02

MARY PLANK

Case 2:13-cv-073 778 Page 3 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of								
Address of Plaintiff: 100 Linda Circle, Downingtown, PA 19335									
Address of Defendant: 2012 Renaissance Blvd., King of Prussia, PA 19406									
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction; 2012 Renaissance Blvd., King of Prussia, PA 19406 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)									
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed,R,Civ,P, 7,1(a))	any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes Now (
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No								
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:								
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:									
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	r previously terminated action in this court?								
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sur action in this court?	Y N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N								
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu terminated action in this court?	Yes O No M mbered case pending or within one year previously Yes O No M								
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual? Yes O No 🕱								
CIVIL: PLACE CHECK IN ONLY ONE BOX A. Federal Question Cases: 1. O Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. O FELA 3. O Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. O Antitrust 5. O Patent 6. O Labor-Management Relations 7. O Civil Rights 8. O Habeas Corpus 9. O Securities Act(s) Cases 10. O Social Security Review Cases 11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) FRISA Claim	 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. O Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. O Airplane Personal Injury 3. O Assault, Defamation 4. O Marine Personal Injury 5. O Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. O Products Liability 8. O Products Liability — Asbestos 9. O All other Diversity Cases (Please specify)								
ARBITR ATION CERTI (Check Appropriate Cat I. John J. Stanzione , counsel of record do hereby certify o Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: NOTE: A third de novo will be a trial by jury only if there I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or we except as noted above. DATE: /2/11/13	tegory) reclief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of Attorney I.D.# the has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.								

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY PLANK : CIVIL ACTION - LAW

100 Linda Circle

Downingtown, PA 19335

Plaintiff

v. : No.

THE DEVEREUX FOUNDATION

2012 Renaissance Blvd

King of Prussia, PA 19406

and

THE DEVEREUX FOUNDATION LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN

2012 Renaissance Blvd

King of Prussia, PA 19406

and

AETNA LIFE INSURANCE CO.

151 Farmington Avenue

Hartford, CT 06156

Defendants

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Mary Plank, is an adult individual and citizen of Pennsylvania and currently resides at 100 Linda Circle, Downingtown, PA 19335.
- 2. Defendant, The Devereux Foundation (herein "Devereux"), is a non-profit corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania with a principal place of business at 2012 Renaissance Blvd., King of Prussia, PA 19406.

- 3. Defendant, The Devereux Foundation Long Term Disability Plan (herein "The Plan") is an ERISA qualified disability plan providing long term disability benefits to qualified employees of Devereux. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was employed by Devereux and covered by The Plan. Devereux has indicated that it is the Plan Administrator for The Plan and that The Plan is administered at Devereux's offices at 2012 Renaissance Blvd., King of Prussia, PA 19406.
- 4. Aetna Life Insurance Company (herein "Aetna") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Connecticut but doing business within, and having sufficient contacts with, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that Aetna administers and underwrites The Plan and pays all benefits to qualified employees under The Plan. Aetna has its principal place of business at 151 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, CT 06156.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is a Complaint alleging violation of Section 502(a)(1)(B) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (herein "ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B). This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction of this case under 29 U.S.C. §1132 (e).

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania by virtue of Title 28 U.S.C §§ 1391 (b) and (c) because Plaintiff, Mary Plank, and Defendants, Devereux and Devereux Long Term Disability Plan reside in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Further, all acts complained of were committed within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Plaintiff was hired by Devereux on February 1, 1993.
- 8. In or about November, 2005, Plaintiff was promoted to Director of Food Services (herein "Director") for Devereux's Beneto Center.
- 9. In the position of Director, Plaintiff supervised approximately thirty (30) food service workers at four (4) different campuses of Devereux. Plaintiff worked approximately fifty (50) hours per week performing her supervisory tasks and also performing the physical duties required of a food service worker when necessary.
- 10. As Director, Plaintiff was required to "fill in" for absent food service workers or at understaffed campuses and, in doing so, would perform the duties of a food service worker. This required Plaintiff to lift and carry items which weighed up to fifty (50) pounds.
- 11. As Director, Plaintiff was required to stand and walk in excess of six (6) hours a day and was required to carry objects that weighed in excess of twenty (20) pounds.

- 12. Aetna was aware that Plaintiff performed the physical activities noted above. Such information was recorded by Aetna in the notes of Sharon Boswell dated December 23, 2011 and March 12, 2012.
- 13. On July 12, 2007, the Plaintiff was no longer able to perform her job duties with Devereux due to various medical injuries and conditions from which Plaintiff suffered.
 - 14. On July 12, 2007, Plaintiff stopped working for Devereux
- 15. In or about August, 2007, Plaintiff applied for long-term disability benefits (herein "LTD benefits") pursuant to The Plan.
- 16. At the time Plaintiff applied for LTD benefits, Plaintiff was suffering from several serious medical conditions including, but not limited to, chronic pain in the cervical area with radiation into the shoulder, chronic back pain, severe anxiety, and severe psychological distress.
- 17. At the time of Plaintiff's application for LTD benefits under The Plan,
 Plaintiff was treating for these conditions with various medical providers including the
 psychologist, Dr. Janet Belitsky, and a specialist in pain management, Dr. Jeffrey
 Friedman.
- 18. At the time of Plaintiff's application for LTD benefits, The Plan provided LTD benefits to qualified employees of Devereux as follows:

- a. if, during the first sixty (60) months of such disability, the employee is not able, solely because of injury or disease, to perform the material duties of such employee's own occupation...; and
- b. after the first sixty (60) months of disability, if the employee is unable, solely because of injury or disease, to work at any reasonable occupation.
- 19. Plaintiff was approved for LTD benefits by the Defendants retroactive to November 10, 2007.
- 20. Plaintiff continued to receive full salary pursuant to Devereux's Sick Leave and Short Term Disability Policies until March 15, 2008 and a credit was taken against the LTD benefits owed from November 10, 2007 up through March 15, 2008. As of March 16, 2008, Plaintiff began receiving \$2,855.11 per month in LTD benefits representing sixty percent (60%) of her monthly income as Director.
- 21. As a condition of Plaintiff's approval of LTD benefits, Plaintiff was required under The Plan to apply for Social Security Disability benefits (herein "SSD benefits").
- 22. Plaintiff, with the assistance of the Defendants, applied for SSD benefits on or about April, 2008.
- 23. In July 2008, the Social Security Administration approved of Plaintiff's application for SSD benefits and awarded Plaintiff SSD benefits in the amount of \$1,357 per month retroactive to January 1, 2008.

- 24. In rendering its Determination that Plaintiff was entitled to SSD benefits, The Social Security Administration found that Plaintiff was disabled from any and all gainful employment as of July 12, 2007.
- 25. As a result of the Social Security Administration's determination, Plaintiff's LTD benefits were reduced by the Defendants to \$1498.11 per month retroactive to January 1, 2008.
- 26. By letter dated June 5, 2012 (herein "Termination Letter"), Plaintiff was advised by Aetna that she was no longer entitled to LTD benefits after June 5, 2012 because Plaintiff was not totally disabled from performing her own occupation as defined by The Plan.
- 27. In terminating Plaintiff's LTD benefits, Aetna determined that the position of Director of Food Services was a "light duty" job as defined by the Dictionary of Occupational Titles (herein "DOT").
- 28. The letter that Aetna sent to Plaintiff terminating Plaintiff's LTD benefits stated the following:

"Our Vocational Rehabilitation department evaluated the job description provided by your employer, Devereux, and was able to verify that your occupational requirements as a Food Service Manager for Devereux fell within the light, full time occupation definition. In addition, it was also determined that there was a viable labor market for your functional work capacity in your geographical area, which verified that your occupation under the Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT) definition is light".

- 29. In terminating Plaintiff's LTD benefits, Aetna determined that the medical evidence Aetna reviewed established that Plaintiff was capable of performing physical activities which met the definition of "light duty".
- 30. In making the determination that Plaintiff could perform light duty work, Aetna rejected two (2) reports from Plaintiff's treating physician, Dr. Jeffrey Friedman, who indicated that Plaintiff could not perform extended work in a light duty capacity and that Plaintiff's prognosis for return to any type of work was "poor".
- 31. In terminating the Plaintiff's LTD benefits, Aetna relied upon the Independent Medical Examination of Dr. Michael Rosenthal who specializes in physical medicine and rehabilitation.
- 32. In his report of May 3, 2012, Dr. Rosenthal noted that as Director of Food Services for Devereux, Plaintiff had both "directorial and direct kitchen (physical) responsibilities".
- 33. In his report of May 3, 2012, Dr. Rosenthal noted that Plaintiff had neck pain, bilateral hip pain that increases when she walks on uneven surfaces, hand pain, and hand tingling. Dr. Rosenthal diagnosed Plaintiff with chronic neck pain, muscle spasm, myofascial pain syndrome, cervical spondylosis, left carpal tunnel syndrome, status post right carpal tunnel syndrome and chronic bi-lateral hip tendinopathy.

- 34. In his report of May 3, 2012, Dr. Rosenthal noted that Plaintiff was treating with the psychologist Dr. Janet Belitsky for pain management and with the psychiatrist, Dr. Huang, for depression and anxiety.
- 35. Despite having knowledge that Plaintiff had been diagnosed with depression in addition to her physical injuries, Aetna never had Plaintiff examined by a licensed psychologist or psychiatrist nor did Aetna have Plaintiff's medical records reviewed by such medical specialists.
 - 36. Plaintiff's LTD benefits ended as of June 5, 2012.
- 37. By letter dated June 13, 2012, Plaintiff's treating psychologist, Dr. Janet Belitsky, informed Aetna that in addition to her physical injuries, Plaintiff has experienced "intractable depression evidenced in feelings of sadness and emptiness, tearfulness, anhedonia, disturbed sleep, marked fatigue and loss of energy on a daily basis, feelings of worthlessness and inappropriate guilt, diminished ability to concentrate and make decisions, and recurrent thoughts of death as well as suicidal ideation without a specific plan". Dr. Belitsky advised Aetna that Plaintiff was "disabled from returning to Devereux Foundation in any gainful capacity".
- 38. On July 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed a timely appeal of Aetna's decision terminating her LTD benefits in compliance with The Plan.
- 39. On October 2, 2012, Aetna denied Plaintiff's appeal. In its denial, Aetna again indicated that Plaintiff was capable of "performing the material duties of her own

occupation of Food Service Director, which is classified as a light physical demand level".

- 40. In the denial of Plaintiff's appeal, Aetna again acknowledged Plaintiff's diagnosis of depression.
- 41. During the pendency of the appeal, Aetna never had Plaintiff examined by a licensed psychologist or psychiatrist nor did Aetna have Plaintiff's medical records reviewed by such medical specialists.
- 42. On at least two occasions prior to June 5, 2012, Aetna had determined that Plaintiff's job as Food Service Director was classified as a medium duty job.
- 43. By letter addressed to Dr. Jeffrey Friedman dated December 19, 2011, Aetna advised Dr. Friedman that Plaintiff's position was classified as a "medium occupation".
- 44. By letter addressed to Dr. Jahangir Maleki dated January 27, 2012, Aetna advised Dr. Jahangir that Plaintiff's position was classified as a "medium occupation".

COUNT I

PLAINTIFF v. ALL DEFENDANTS

ERISA CLAIM

45. Plaintiff incorporates each and every paragraph noted above as though the same were set forth fully herein.

- 46. Plaintiff was not able to perform the job duties of her own occupation of Director of Food Services for Devereux as of June 6, 2012 and has remained unable to perform such job duties.
- 47. Pursuant to The Plan, Plaintiff was entitled to LTD benefits as of June 6, 2012 due to her inability to perform the duties of Director.
- 48. Defendant Devereux is listed as the Plan Administrator of the Devereux Long Term Disability Plan.
- 49. Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that Devereux has assigned its rights and obligations to administer The Plan to the Defendant, Aetna.
- 50. Aetna as both The Plan administrator and payor had financial incentive to terminate or deny Plaintiff's disability claim.
- 51. Aetna and The Plan terminated Plaintiff's LTD benefits without substantial evidence to support such termination.
- 52. Aetna and The Plan ignored substantial medical evidence supporting Plaintiff's disability when it terminated Plaintiff's benefits as of June 5, 2012.
- 53. Aetna and The Plan terminated Plaintiff's benefits without any evidence from a psychologist or psychiatrist that refuted the evidence presented by Plaintiff's treating psychologist. Plaintiff's psychologist, Dr. Belitsky, indicated that Plaintiff was suffering from severe psychiatric and psychological conditions, in addition to her physical injuries, which precluded Plaintiff from returning to work with Devereux.

- 54. Aetna and The Plan misclassified Plaintiff's occupation as Director of Food Services as a light duty job as defined by the DOT.
- 55. Aetna and The Plan had knowledge that Plaintiff, as Director, was required to, and did, perform the duties of a food service worker, was required to, and did, lift items in excess of twenty (20) pounds, was required to, and did, work in excess of eight (8) hours a day and was required to, and did, engage in substantial standing and walking.
- 56. Defendants failed to consider Plaintiff's job requirements as Director of Food Service and arbitrarily listed her position of Director as a light duty job despite Defendants knowledge that Plaintiff's duties exceeded such classification.
- 57. For all the reasons noted herein, Defendants acted arbitrarily and capriciously in terminating Plaintiff's benefits.
- 58. For all the reasons noted herein, Defendants acted in bad faith in terminating Plaintiff's benefits.
- 59. For all the reasons noted herein, Defendants decision to terminate Plaintiff's benefits was erroneous as a matter of law.
- 60. Defendants, in terminating Plaintiff's benefits, failed to conduct a complete and accurate medical review of Plaintiff's medical conditions.
- 61. Defendants failed to have Plaintiff examined by, or have Plaintiff's medical records reviewed by, a licensed psychologist or psychiatrist.

- 62. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's benefits in violation of 29 U.S.C. §1132 (a)(1)(B).
- 63. Plaintiff is entitled to LTD benefits pursuant to The Plan retroactive to the date such benefits were terminated.
- 64. Plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees and costs in prosecuting this action as provided by ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(1).
 - 65. Plaintiff has exhausted all internal claims procedures required of The Plan.

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff as against all Defendants in an amount equal to the long-term disability benefits to which Plaintiff was entitled to receive retroactive to June 6, 2012 with appropriate interest. Plaintiff further requests attorney's fees, costs and such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

LAMB McERLANE, P.C.

12/11/13 Date

John J. Stanzione, Esq.

Attorney ID No. 41175

Lamb McErlane, P.C.

24 East Market Street

West Chester, PA 19381

Counsel for Plaintiff